



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,658	02/28/2002	John F. Corson	10020333-1	2315
75	590 04/27/2004		EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			LUU, THANH X	
Legal Departme Intellectual Pro	ent, DL429 perty Administration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 7599			2878	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/086,658 CORSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	Art Unit	
	Thanh X Luu	2878		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	lress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	 In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Alexandre. 	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	February 2004.			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the	merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17 and 23-27 is/a		ration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 18-22</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		•	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2002 is/s	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠	objected to by the Examin	er.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152 .	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.			
Certified copies of the priority docume				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	· ·	received in this National S	Stage	
application from the International Bure				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-	152\	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/2003; 10/2003.	6) Other:		194)	

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-6 and 18-22 in Paper No. 02/26/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not distinct and there is no burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because although the inventions include similar steps, the steps are performed in different orders. Thus, the claims are directed to different and distinct processes or devices in which the same end result is achieved. Therefore, the claims are clearly distinct species of one another. Further, since the search for one process or device would not result in prior art readable on the other processes or devices, there is a burden on the examiner as each specific process or device would have to be searched separately.

Therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 7-17 and 23-27 have been withdrawn.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subtractor must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,658 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted step is: scanning. That is, Applicant claims "a scanned image" but does not claim that the molecular array is actually scanned.
- 5. Claims 3, 4, 5 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, "scanning a molecular array to acquire data", "the signal processing systems" and "the molecular array scanner" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Regarding claims 5 and 22, "signal intensity data" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. The claims would be allowable once the 112 2nd paragraph rejections are overcome.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the method and device as claimed, more specifically in combination with: adding an offset, digitizing, subtracting the offset and integrating the digital signal, is not disclosed or made obvious by the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,658 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kamentsky et al. (U.S. Patent 5,107,422) disclose a conventional array scanner.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878